WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	J	ose Angel Barba-Servin	Case N	lumber: <u>13-01381M-001</u>		
and was	s represe	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. ented by counsel. I conclude by a pi t pending trial in this case.	reponderance of the ev	hearing was held on April 5, 2013. Defendant was presen vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention		
I find by	/ a prepo	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FA	ACT		
The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted f				wfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.					
		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcuto assure his/her future appearance.					
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.						
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and h substantial family ties to Mexico.					
There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				dered.		
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement			e law enforcement con	ntact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
		The defendant is facing a maximum	um of	years imprisonment.		
at the ti	The Comme of the	urt incorporates by reference the me hearing in this matter, except as	naterial findings of the F noted in the record.	Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour		
			CONCLUSIONS OF	LAW		
	1.	There is a serious risk that the de	fendant will flee.			
	2.	No condition or combination of co	onditions will reasonably	ly assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
			CTIONS REGARDING			
appeal. of the L	ctions fac The def Inited Sta	cility separate, to the extent practica fendant shall be afforded a reasona ates or on request of an attorney fo	able, from persons awa able opportunity for priv or the Government, the	eral or his/her designated representative for confinement in aiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending vate consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the se in connection with a court proceeding.		
		APPE	ALS AND THIRD PAR	RTY RELEASE		
deliver Court.				e filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to s at least one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric		
	s sufficie			be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
	•	, ,		A J Mater		
DATE:	_ Арііі 5	<u>5, 2013 </u>	_	JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge		